

# Case Study Poster Competition

## Judging Criteria

Name
Title
TOTAL SCORE

Area	Item	Options	Score
<b>I. Relevance and History</b>			
<b>A. The topic is relevant to Emergency Medicine</b>			
*A brief abstract and overview of the case is present. Limited to 100 words or less.			
		Abstract focus is clear and concise. Under 100 words.	5
			4
		Abstract focus is clear but not concise. Under 100 words.	3
			2
		Abstract focus is unclear. Over 100 words.	1
<b>B. An in-depth introduction is presented</b>			
		Complete introduction and pertinent history provided	5
			4
		Partially complete introduction and history	3
			2
		Significant omissions in the history or poor introduction	1
<b>II. Differential Diagnosis</b>			
<b>A. Breadth of the differential diagnosis</b>			
		Appropriately broad differential	5
			4
		Generally broad differential but missing key system pathology	3
			2
		Narrow differential diagnosis	1
<b>B. Life-threatening etiologies covered</b>			
		All pertinent life-threatening etiologies are included in the differential	5
			4
		A few unlikely but pertinent life-threatening etiologies are not covered	3
			2
		Significant pertinent threats to life are missing from the differential diagnosis	1

C. Obscure pathology weighted appropriately		
	Obscure etiologies covered but not to the detriment of common disease processes	3
		2
	Obscure etiologies dominate the differential	1
III. Clinical Discussion		
A. Clinical Discussion		
	Clinical discussion is clear and concise	5
		4
	Clinical discussion is clear but not concise	3
		2
	Clinical discussion is unclear	1
B. Integration of Presentation and Conclusions		
	Discussion clearly links and elegantly transitions between presentation and conclusions	5
		4
	Discussion is disjointed but adequately transitions between presentation and conclusions	3
		2
	Discussion is disjointed and does not transition well between presentation and conclusions	1
IV. Poster and Presentation		
A. Poster follows appropriate format (introduction, HPI, clinical and test findings, treatment plan with justification, conclusion)		
	Complies with the standard format	5
		4
	Complies with the standard format but omission of one section	3
		2
	Uses non-standard format or multiple omissions	1
B. Tables and figures are clear and legible		
	Appropriate text and graphic size, easy to see pertinent information	5
		4
	Text too small or large, graphics blurry but discernable, challenging to see pertinent information	3
		2
	Exceedingly crowded text, unable to discern pertinent information from graphics	1

C. Appropriate reference list provided		
	Comprehensive list of references provided	5
		4
	Excessive or somewhat sparse list of references provided	3
		2
	Clearly inadequate list of references provided	1

D. Spelling and grammar		
	Correct spelling, use of technical terms, and grammar	5
		4
	Rare errors in spelling, use of technical terms, or grammar	3
		2
	Frequent errors in spelling, use of technical terms, or grammar	1

V. Overall Impression

A. Overall impression of the poster		
	Exceptional quality	5
		4
	High quality	3
		2
	Poor quality	1

B. Overall impression of the presentation		
	Exceptional quality	5
		4
	High quality	3
		2
	Poor quality	1